		Page 230		Page 232
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A.	Yes. And you wanted this data so that you could pay closer attention I presume to what was your bottom line; is that fair? Yes. And you wanted it as a management tool? Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LUFT: Integrated delivery, yes.  A. And I think it refers to integrated delivery.  Q. I have misspoken, and I apologize.  Integrated delivery system was a strategy pursued, not just by AHERF, but others in the healthcare market in the mid to late 1990s. Do you recall that testimony?  A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	marketplace, the Philadelphia market in particular for healthcare	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<ul> <li>Q. And I think your testimony will reflect that you responded strike that. That as a part of this strategy, you could not recall specific objections on your part to it at the time it was being proposed to you or announced to you by management.  Do you recall that?  MR. LUFT: Objection.</li> <li>A. I think that I said that I was in agreement with the broad concept. There were pieces of it that I had varying degrees of comfort or support for.</li> <li>Q. And I think two things for which you expressed less comfort and, in fact, fairly significant caution were the acquisition of physician practices on a going-forward basis and risk contracting; is that right?</li> </ul>
				Page 233
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q. t d. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes.  Is it fair to say that in these kinds of market times and facing these kinds of market conditions, that accurate financial data, whether internally generated or as a part of the audited financial statements, is critical as a management tool for board members sitting on not-for-profit healthcare boards?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Yes, it's critical.  And why is that so?  Because you must understand what it is you are dealing with. If there's an old phrase which I happen to think is correct. If you can't measure it, you can't manage it, to put it simply.  And is it also strike that.  You mentioned that the IDS or the integrated development strategy is a broad strategy, an integrated development system as a broad strategy in a  Integrated delivery was the  I'm sorry, I'm misspeaking, and I appreciate it.  I think that's the term you used, IDS.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. LUFT: Objection.  A. I think we had I think I voiced those concerns this morning. You would be accurate in saying I had concerns in those areas, and I believe that I voiced some concern. I know that I did this morning in terms of the risk contracts, the insurance computation, whatever you want to refer I know I did that. I think I voiced some concern this morning in terms of the physician practice purchase program.  Q. In any event, you had the concerns about the physician practices program?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And my question to you is: If  A. Concerns, Mr. Jones, in terms of feeling that it needed to be very carefully handled moving forward and step by step. That doesn't mean that I was totally out of agreement that we should do it, right? In fact, I agreed to be on the board of that organization to help in the governance, but I had but it was an area that I thought required real cautious.

		Page 724		<del></del>	Page 236
	_	Page 234	1	٨	With a couple of issues in particular. The
1	Q.	Is that right?	1	A.	capitation contracts in particular, and to some
2	A.	Yes, yes.	2		extent that was true with the physician
3	Q.	And my question to you is: If these strategies	3		
4		that were deployed and employed in the mid to	4	_	practices.
5		late 1990s well, let me rephrase that.	5	Q.	And, again, materially different financial
6		While these strategies were being	6		performance in fiscal year '96 and fiscal year
7		deployed in the mid 1990s and late 1990s at	7		'97 would have caused you as a board member to
8		AHERF, you were always careful to watch the	8		have stopped the stepping or perhaps reduced
9		internal and audited financial statements for	9		the stepping
10		financial performance measurement as a part of	10	_	MR. LUFT: Objection.
11		that cautionary exercise?	11	Q.	or at least considered it?
12		MR. LUFT: Objection.	12		MR. LUFT: Objection.
13	A.	I think that's fair to say.	13	A.	I can't say that it would have stopped. It
14	Q.	And had those results shown significantly worse	14		might well have had us further consider, or
15		financial performance, you would have had, for	15		whatever your term was, at the end, but we have
16		in particular fiscal years 1996 and 1997, you	16		to make sure we are talking about what an
17		would have had increased caution about the IDS	17		accountant would call materially.
18		idea and strategy?	18		A million dollars is a big number. A
19		MR. LUFT: Objection.	19		million dollars as a proportion of a company
20	A.		20		that had revenues of a billion 6 or 7 or so at
21	1 2,	"significant," and where the issues or	21		the time, I wouldn't call it material. I don't
22		discrepancies might be within the context of	22		think an accountant would call that material if
23		our business because some are way more	23		we are talking about a bottom line or something
24		important than others. So I have to be	24		of that nature.
25		careful I can't just say yes to your	25		So you have to be real careful with
-			╁		
		Page 235			Page 237
1			1	t	•
1 2		question.	1 2		these terms in relation to exactly what is it
2		question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.	1	1	•
2 3	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions	2	Q.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big. I understand. That's why I chose your
2 3 4	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996	2 3	Q.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big. I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective
2 3 4 5	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution	2 3 4	Q. Q.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big. I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my
2 3 4	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big. I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. 0	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big. I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. (1)	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. (1)	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. (1)	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done? MR. LUFT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Q. 1	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection. It would have it likely would have caused
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Q. 1	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	Question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Q. A. Q.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps.  And what kind of in-depth examination do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Q. A. Q.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done? MR. LUFT: Objection. It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps. And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. Q.	Question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Q. A.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps.  And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. A.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done? MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps. And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. Q.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you. And, in fact, you talked with Mr. Luft about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. A.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done? MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps. And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money in I believe the first three years. I cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you.  And, in fact, you talked with Mr. Luft about how you were proceeding or monitoring or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done? MR. LUFT: Objection. It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps. And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean? We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money in I believe the first three years. I cannot recall exactly what the plan was, but I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you. And, in fact, you talked with Mr. Luft about how you were proceeding or monitoring or watching the procession toward an IDS strategy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done? MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps. And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money in I believe the first three years. I cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you.  And, in fact, you talked with Mr. Luft about how you were proceeding or monitoring or watching the procession toward an IDS strategy cautiously, I think you put it in steps or one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps.  And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money in I believe the first three years. I cannot recall exactly what the plan was, but I know the numbers were to be red, and then at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	Question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you.  And, in fact, you talked with Mr. Luft about how you were proceeding or monitoring or watching the procession toward an IDS strategy cautiously, I think you put it in steps or one step at a time. Is that a fair way to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps.  And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money in I believe the first three years. I cannot recall exactly what the plan was, but I know the numbers were to be red, and then at approximately that time we were to move into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A.	Question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you.  And, in fact, you talked with Mr. Luft about how you were proceeding or monitoring or watching the procession toward an IDS strategy cautiously, I think you put it in steps or one step at a time. Is that a fair way to characterize the way you viewed it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. A.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps.  And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money in I believe the first three years. I cannot recall exactly what the plan was, but I know the numbers were to be red, and then at approximately that time we were to move into the black. I believe that the losses were to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	Question.  Let me rephrase it slightly.  Materially worse financial conditions on a consolidated basis in fiscal years 1996 and 1997 would have caused you more caution about the wisdom of a continuing IDS strategy?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  Materially used in a financial context, as that word is generally used as I know it being a banker, et cetera, yes, I would agree yes to your question.  You certainly wouldn't have ignored those circumstances; is that fair to say?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  If it is what an accountant would call material.  I am right then? I would agree with you.  And, in fact, you talked with Mr. Luft about how you were proceeding or monitoring or watching the procession toward an IDS strategy cautiously, I think you put it in steps or one step at a time. Is that a fair way to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. A.	these terms in relation to exactly what is it we are talking about, what piece, what action, how big.  I understand. That's why I chose your definition from an accounting perspective materially misstate materially worse, and my question stands. Materially worse performance from a financial perspective in '96 and '97 would have caused you to consider stopping the steps, reducing the steps, or at least considering whether that ought to be done?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  It would have it likely would have caused in-depth examination of the steps.  And what kind of in-depth examination do you mean?  We let's take an example, physician practice purchases. We knew, we planned to lose money in I believe the first three years. I cannot recall exactly what the plan was, but I know the numbers were to be red, and then at approximately that time we were to move into the black. I believe that the losses were to be a low seven-figure number I think in the

		Page 238			Page 240
1		Now, if the number is all right.	1		Do you recall that testimony?
2		So the fact that we are reporting losses does	2	A.	Yes. There was a there was a board meeting,
		not cause me, I can't speak for everyone else,	3		and there was a vote which I participated which
3		not cause me, I can't speak for everyone cise,	4		formally authorized the purchase by AHERF,
4		me as a board member to say this is	5		where I was a board member, of those Graduate
5		unacceptable. If the losses are materially			
6		different, i.e. something like 50 percent	6	_	Hospitals.
7		greater than we are talking, then that causes	7	Q.	Do you recall, Mr. Palmer, today, that even
8		you to examine more closely. If the number is	8		after this meeting and the vote you refer to,
9		5 percent greater, it probably doesn't call for	9		AHERF that the due diligence on the
10		me to get in and dig and probe and challenge,	10		acquisition or due diligence on the financial
11		no.	11		wherewithal and condition of The Graduate
12	Q.	Part of the way you monitored the success of	12		Hospitals that were contemplated to be acquired
13	Q.	any steps that were taken in this regard	13		went on?
		towards an IDS was a review of the internal and	14	A.	6.1
14		the audited financial statements in each of the	15		definition due diligence. I recall that once
15			16		something's a part of us, we rigorously examine
16		years, fiscal years '95, '96, and '97?	17		the numbers, report on the numbers, et cetera.
17	A.				I don't think of it in terms of diligence. I
18	Q.		18		
19		MR. LUFT: Objection.	19		don't recollect that by the definition of due
20	A.		20	_	diligence it went on.
21		tell you about my own upbringing in business,	21	Q.	Do you recall ever learning that the former
22		and that is that the auditors are very	22		Graduate Health System enterprises, including
23		important, very essential tool, but they are no	23		some hospitals, that ultimately became a part
24		excuse whatsoever for you not doing your	24		of the AHERF system did not formally become a
			25		part of the AHERF system until May 1, 1997?
1 23		business right to begin with. You shouldn't	23		part of the ATEXT system than 1714y 1, 1997.
25		business right to begin with. You shouldn't	23		part of the Affiliat system until may 1, 1997.
25		Page 239	23		Page 241
		Page 239	1	A.	Page 241
1		Page 239	1		Page 241 I I wouldn't have recalled that without your
1 2		Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the	1 2	;	Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that
1 2 3		Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist	1	;	Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional
1 2 3 4		Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member	1 2 3		Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't
1 2 3 4 5		Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them.	1 2 3 4 5		Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a	1 2 3 4		Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly.  You recall there was an issue and the details
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly.  You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly.  You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	Page 241  I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it. I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998.  Yes, I do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998.  Yes, I do. You recall that testimony?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today. Do you recall that testimony?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998. Yes, I do. You recall that testimony? Yeah. I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Page 239 sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today. Do you recall that testimony? Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998. Yes, I do. You recall that testimony? Yeah. I That it happened?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today. Do you recall that testimony? Yes. And I think you mentioned that you recall being	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998. Yes, I do. You recall that testimony? Yeah. I That it happened? Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today. Do you recall that testimony? Yes. And I think you mentioned that you recall being involved in some board deliberation and/or	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998. Yes, I do. You recall that testimony? Yeah. I That it happened? Yes. I don't expect you to recall the specifics of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today. Do you recall that testimony? Yes. And I think you mentioned that you recall being involved in some board deliberation and/or meeting in November or December of 1996, at	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly.  You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998.  Yes, I do.  You recall that testimony?  Yeah. I  That it happened?  Yes.  I don't expect you to recall the specifics of it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today. Do you recall that testimony? Yes. And I think you mentioned that you recall being involved in some board deliberation and/or meeting in November or December of 1996, at which that transaction or the precursor of it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly. You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right? Yes. You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998. Yes, I do. You recall that testimony? Yeah. I That it happened? Yes. I don't expect you to recall the specifics of it. My question was that I think your
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	sit around and wait for your auditor to tell you you got a problem, whether it's in the numbers or the context. But, yes, they exist for good reasons, and, yes, as a board member or a senior manager I use them. And at AHERF in your board service for a not-for-profit enterprise and on the subsidiary boards of which you were a member, you reviewed the audited financial statements for each of the years of your board service? Yes, I did. And relied on them? Yes, I did. We talked, and "we" is the wrong word, you and Mr. Luft spoke earlier a little bit about the Graduate Health Systems sale of certain hospitals and enterprises that were ultimately made a part of the AHERF system earlier today. Do you recall that testimony? Yes. And I think you mentioned that you recall being involved in some board deliberation and/or meeting in November or December of 1996, at	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I I wouldn't have recalled that without your saying. I now have some recollection that there was a piece for which some additional work needed to be done before that, but I don't recollect what it was, and I can't discuss it.  I mean I'm not able to discuss it knowingly.  You recall there was an issue and the details of it you don't recall; is that right?  Yes.  You saw documents earlier today as exhibits that showed you what a number that looked like, although we are not certain of its exact figure because of the quality of the copy, \$42 million in operating losses for the first quarter or first yes, I believe it was the first quarter of fiscal year 1998.  Yes, I do.  You recall that testimony?  Yeah. I  That it happened?  Yes.  I don't expect you to recall the specifics of it.

		Page 242			Page 244
1		that were undertaken then in response to those	1		physicians being eliminated with all the
2		adverse operating results, and they included an	2		ramifications, that I don't recall feeling the
3		initiative with respect to cost reduction, some	3		need for that sort of
4		layoffs that were ultimately effected, although	4	O.	This was in your view a time to act, that is at
5		we don't know when, more caution on any future	5		the very least?
6		acquisitions and things of that nature.	6	A.	A time to act more significantly than we had
		Do you recall your testimony on that?	7	1	been acting. We thought we had been acting,
7		MR. LUFT: Objection.	8		but now we need to act more significantly.
8		MR. McCLENAHAN: Objection. I'm not	9	Q.	
9		MR. MCCLENATIAN. Objection. Till not	10	Q.	of actions were undertaken by management and
10		sure he said anything about caution on future	11		the board in efforts to remedy the situation;
11	_	acquisitions.	12		is that right?
12	Q.			٨	-
13		that were undertaken to stem the tide	13	A.	
14		I recall the subject matter, yes.	14	Q.	
15	Q.	Do you recall from any prior set of financial	15		involved the discharge of management
16		statements, audited financial statements in	16		ultimately?
17		particular, thinking that those kinds of	17		MR. LUFT: Objection.
18		significant measures that you did testify about	18	A.	
19		that were done in response to the September 30,	19		recollect.
20		1997 financial statements should have been	20	Q.	
21		taken at an earlier time?	21		fired?
22		MR. LUFT: Objection. I believe he	22	A.	Oh, that wasn't part of the cost-cutting.
23		testified that was one of a number of warning	23	Q.	
24		signs.	24		of your reaction to continuing losses?
25		MR. JONES: I'm sorry?	25		MR. LUFT: Objection.
1					
			<del> </del>		
		Page 243			Page 245
1			1	Α.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our
1 2		MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony	1 2	1	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.
2		MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a	1	1	Continuing losses was a major factor in our
1		MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony	2	Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.
2 3		MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.	2 3	Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.
2 3 4		MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action. MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as	2 3 4	Q. A. Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action. MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection. MR. JONES:	2 3 4 5	Q. Q. Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action. MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection. MR. JONES:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Q. Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action. MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Q. Q. 1	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action. MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection. MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Q. Q. I	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY Q. A. Q. A.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Q. Q. I	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY Q. A. Q. A.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Q. Q. 1	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY Q. A. Q. A.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Q. Q. 1	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Q. 1	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Q. A. A. Q. Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. A	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?  my concerns?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do. Do you recall ever seeing financial statements,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?  my concerns?  Yes, about either gentleman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do. Do you recall ever seeing financial statements, internal or audited, before that date that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?  my concerns?  Yes, about either gentleman. In the very late stages, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do. Do you recall ever seeing financial statements, internal or audited, before that date that thought that triggered in your mind the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q. Q. Q.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of Your concerns?  my concerns?  Yes, about either gentleman. In the very late stages, yes. And what was that concern?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do. Do you recall ever seeing financial statements, internal or audited, before that date that thought that triggered in your mind the same reaction, that immediate measures needed to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?  my concerns?  Yes, about either gentleman. In the very late stages, yes.  And what was that concern? I had great concerns about the actions around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do. Do you recall ever seeing financial statements, internal or audited, before that date that thought that triggered in your mind the same reaction, that immediate measures needed to be taken?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?  my concerns?  Yes, about either gentleman.  In the very late stages, yes.  And what was that concern?  I had great concerns about the actions around decisions and the timing of the repayment of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do. Do you recall ever seeing financial statements, internal or audited, before that date that thought that triggered in your mind the same reaction, that immediate measures needed to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?  my concerns?  Yes, about either gentleman. In the very late stages, yes.  And what was that concern? I had great concerns about the actions around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	MR. LUFT: I believe his testimony was that the responses he took was one of a number of warning signs for why he took the action.  MR. JONES: I don't recognize that as an objection.  MR. JONES: But go ahead. You can answer my question. Jim, could you ask the question again? Let me try again. Yeah. Do you recall realizing in September or shortly after the end of September 1997 October, whatever that certain measures needed to be taken to stem the tide of red ink or losses, operating losses incurred by the enterprise, that much you recall? Yes, I do. Do you recall ever seeing financial statements, internal or audited, before that date that thought that triggered in your mind the same reaction, that immediate measures needed to be taken?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Continuing losses was a major factor in our losing confidence in him.  And ultimately Mr. McConnell was fired; is that correct?  Yes.  Do you recall believing at the time that the loss of confidence in either of these gentlemen, Mr. Abdelhak or Mr. McConnell, was in part occasioned on your part by questions about their integrity as well as their performance?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  On make sure I understand your question, on my part.  Yes.  Whatever feelings I might have had, was integrity a part of  Your concerns?  my concerns?  Yes, about either gentleman.  In the very late stages, yes.  And what was that concern?  I had great concerns about the actions around decisions and the timing of the repayment of

		Page 246		Page 248
1		reflected to me about management competence and	1	Q. Yes. I mean you couldn't without
2		whether the board was being kept apprised of	2	certainty you couldn't with certainty know
3		what was a huge issue.	3	that the charges were true when they were
4	Q.	And you thought it was more than a competence	4	brought, but if you thought they were
5	Q.	issue, but also an integrity issue, given my	5	reasonably credible, would you have pursued
6		question?	6	them?
7		MR. LUFT: Objection.	7	A. Well, you would do
		I thought I touched on integrity, yes.	8	MR. McCLENAHAN: Can we just review
8	Α.	And if you had had let me try that again.	9	the bedding here so that we have a decent
9	Q.	And if you had had let life if that again.	10	record? What charges are you talking about?
10		If you had been told by the auditors that they	11	MR. JONES: Charges about whether or
11		had questions about either Mr. Abdelhak or	12	not or charges that the integrity of two
12		Mr. McConnell's integrity at a prior time,	13	management officials, either Mr. McConnell or
13		would you have acted on that report?		
14		MR. LUFT: Objection.	14	Mr. Abdelhak, were engaged in activities that
15	A.	They would have had to do more than say that	15	the auditors believed to bring into question
16		they had a question. They would have had to	16	their integrity.
17		sit and define that, describe that in some	17	Q. And if you thought those charges were
18		detail, and then I, and I would imagine	18	reasonably credible, my question is, would you
19		another, would have to judge the voracity of	19	then have acted?
20		that. It could have led to, but I can't	20	MR. LUFT: Objection.
21		hypothesize. I don't know what it was, so I	21	A. I would have asked for documentation and
22		don't know how you react.	22	possibly would have asked for our organization
23	Q.		23	
24		been something that would have been ignored,	24	
25		you would have acted upon the information in	25	tell me what it is before I can tell you
<u> </u>				
		Page 247		Page 249
1	:	some fashion?	1	what a lot of substance of what I would do.
2		MR. LUFT: Objection.	2	Q. And I'm not asking you for a whole lot more
3	Q.	Is that fair to say?	3	detail right now.
4		MR. LUFT: Objection.	4	You mentioned to us, Mr. Palmer, that
5		If, this is all hypothetical, if they had come	5	at some point, and I think the points in time
6	,	with charges of concern, with documentation,	6	were roughly May or June of '98, the board or
7		with substantive examples, et cetera, then I	7	key members of it lost confidence in both
8		believe that would have raised questions in my	8	Mr. Abdelhak and Mr. McConnell, you recall
9		mind.	9	that?
10	Q.	And you would have had	10	A. Yes.  MR. LUFT: Objection.
11		And it would have required a lot more than a	11 12	•
12		statement that I'm not sure that I trust Mr. X.	13	
13		What does that mean, you know.	14	<u>-</u>
14	Ų.	I'm not presuming that, and that wasn't part of my hypothetical. I am suggesting substantial	15	
15 16		charges documented and the charges you believe	16	
17		to be true.	17	
18		MR. LUFT: Objection.	18	
19	Δ	I imagine that I would have that would have	19	
20	11.	raised red flags, and I would have wanted that	20	having to do with the whole way that the
21		pursued to its outcome.	21	
22	Q.	•	22	
23	-	answer?	23	
24		MR. LUFT: Objection.	24	
25	Α.	Reasonably credible?	25	significant. There may have been others. I
		•	1	

#### 

		Page 306	
1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ) ERRATA		
	COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY ) SHEET		
2	1, Robert Palmer, have read the foregoing pages		
3	of my deposition given on Friday, August 8, 2003, and		
4	wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:		
5	Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change		
6 7			
8			
9 10			
11			
12 13			
14			
15 16			
17			
18 19			
20	In all other respects, the transcript is true and correct.		
21	The second secon		
22	ROBERT PALMER		
	Subscribed and sworn to before me this		
23 24	day of, 2003.		
	Notary Public		
25	AKF Reference No. HW76730		
			,
ı			

Panucci Dep.

		Page 1
1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	
4	X	
5	THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEEE OF UNSECURED	
	CREDITORS OF ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION	
6	& RESEARCH FOUNDATION,	
7	Plaintiff,	
8	-against-	
9	PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLP,	
10	Defendant.	
11	X	'
12	825 Eighth Avenue	
	New York, New York	
13	•	
	November 18, 2003	
14	9:07 a.m.	
15		
16	DEPOSITION of MARC A. PANUCCI, a	
17	witness in the above-entitled action, held	
18	at the above time and place, taken before	
19	Barbara P. Goldsmith, a Shorthand Reporter	
20	and Notary Public of the State of New	
21	York, pursuant to Subpoena, the provisions	
22	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,	
23	and stipulations between Counsel.	
24		
25		

		Page 70			Page 72
1	on the document in a couple places. I		1	generated in October of '96. The	
	will, however, tell you that this was		2	completion and last modification would	
	found in the fiscal year '96 files		3	have been October of '96.	
	produced by Coopers & Lybrand and that the		4	Q. We can talk about that issue	
5	completed and last modified dates are all		5	later, but I understand your answer.	
	in October of 1996. It may be a computer		6	A. Okay.	
7	error in printing or copying, but save and	1	7	Q. In any event, if we assume, and	
8	except for the two instances where I see		8	I'd like you to for purposes of the next	
9	6/30/95 on the page, does everything else		9	few questions, that this was produced from	
0	about it lead you to believe that it was,		10	the class database for fiscal year 1996	
1	indeed, for fiscal year '96?		11	and, therefore, relates to fiscal year	
2	MR. LUFT: Objection.		12	1996, the budget for you indicates client	
3	<ol> <li>I do not know if it would be for</li> </ol>		13	hours in various weeks on page 2 of the	
4	fiscal year '95 or fiscal year '96.		14	document; is that right?	
5	Q. Let me then take you through		15	A. That is right.	
6	let me ask you what the following would	Ì	16	Q. And it indicates hours	
7	indicate to you. Top of the page says,	ļ	17	predominantly by total in two categories.  That is, pro fee, p-r-o f-e-e, and	
8	"AHERF 6/30/96." That indicates, given		18	endowments and investments. Am I also	
9	AHERF's fiscal year, a fiscal year '96		19		
0	budget, correct?		20	right?  A. That is correct.	
1	A. Correct.			Q. Could you explain for us what	
2	Q. The completion or the completed		22	you recall the pro fee topical area to be?	
3	by and last modified dates in October of		23 24	A. I do not recall.	
4	'96 indicate it relates to fiscal year of		25	Q. If I told you that I have seen	
25	'96		23	Q. If I told you that I have seen	
		Page 71			Page
1	MR_LUFT: Objection	Page 71	1	documents that indicate that pro fee	Page
1	MR. LUFT: Objection.	Page 71	1 2		Page
2	Q is that fair to say?	Page 71		documents that indicate that pro fee related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee	Page
2	<ul><li>Q is that fair to say?</li><li>A. That I am not sure because I</li></ul>	Page 71	2	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee	Page
2 3 4	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was	Page 71	2 3	related to fees for physician services,	Page
2 3 4 5	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not.	Page 71	2 3 4	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?	Page
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. That I am not sure because I</li> <li>would not know if the '95 database was</li> <li>still open or not.</li> <li>Q. In any event, audit work in</li> </ul>	Page 71	2 3 4 5	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have	Page 71	2 3 4 5	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments,	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right?	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help.  Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help.  Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field?  A. Correct.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field? A. Correct.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in profee?	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96? A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field? A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee? A. Correct.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the completion and modified dates are	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96? A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field? A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee? A. Correct. Q. And can you tell from looking at	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the completion and modified dates are generated automatically by the computer	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96? A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field? A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee? A. Correct. Q. And can you tell from looking at this document, Mr. Panucci, whether these	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the completion and modified dates are	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96? A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee? A. Correct. Q. And can you tell from looking at this document, Mr. Panucci, whether these are hours actually spent or whether these	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the completion and modified dates are generated automatically by the computer system at the time someone makes the	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee?  A. Correct. Q. And can you tell from looking at this document, Mr. Panucci, whether these are hours actually spent or whether these are hours budgeted?	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the completion and modified dates are generated automatically by the computer system at the time someone makes the entries.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee?  A. Correct. Q. And can you tell from looking at this document, Mr. Panucci, whether these are hours actually spent or whether these are hours budgeted?  A. I cannot tell from looking at	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the completion and modified dates are generated automatically by the computer system at the time someone makes the entries. A. Correct. Q. So that would indicate that this	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working at this document, Mr. Panucci, whether these are hours actually spent or whether these are hours budgeted?  A. I cannot tell from looking at the document.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q is that fair to say? A. That I am not sure because I would not know if the '95 database was still open or not. Q. In any event, audit work in October of '96 is quite unlikely to have been done with respect to fiscal year '95. Am I right? A. That is correct, but there's times where you're still doing administrative things to closeout an account. Q. I understand. Let me also ask you, as you understand the class database as it existed in fiscal year 1996, the completion and modified dates are generated automatically by the computer system at the time someone makes the entries. A. Correct.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	related to fees for physician services, does that help you recall what pro fee relates to, as in professional fees?  A. That does not help. Q. Okay. Endowments and investments, however, is, in your memory, as relating to work on endowments, investments and investments for AHERF or its affiliates in fiscal year '96?  A. Correct. Q. You do recall working in that field?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee?  A. Correct. Q. You do not recall working in pro fee?  A. Correct. Q. And can you tell from looking at this document, Mr. Panucci, whether these are hours actually spent or whether these are hours budgeted?  A. I cannot tell from looking at the document.	Page

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

		Page 74			Page 70
1 1	than pro fee and endowments/investments,		1	explanation for; is that fair?	
2 .	can you recall, as you sit here today,		2	A. That's fair.	
2 • 3 ·	involved in any other aspects in the	ł	3	Q. The completed and last modified	
4	fiscal year 1996 AHERF audit besides		4	dates are, again, the same date, 10/17/96,	
	endowments and investments?		5	as the prior exhibit, correct?	
5 . 6	A. Other assets in intercompany	ļ	6	A. That is correct.	
	accounts I remember having some		7	Q. And if you turn to page 2 of the	
	involvement.		8	document, you see a table of hours with	
9	Q. And what involvement do you		9	associates or other auditors' initials	
	recall having?		10	across the top, and tasks down the	
1	A. I could not tell you the	i	11	left-hand margin. Am I right?	
	specifics, but I know I did some		12	A. That is correct.	
	procedures in those areas.	ļ	13	Q. And in for each associate set	
4	Q. Can you describe generally what		14	of initials, there are hours by task. Is	
	you understood those areas to be for the		15	that right?	
	jurors?		16	A. Correct.	
7	A. Well, not recalling in specific		17	Q. And off to the right-hand or	
	what I did on this engagement,		18	toward the right-hand margin, we have a total column for hours, a budgeted amounts	
9	intercompany accounts is typically just to		19	column for hours, and a difference column.	
20	make sure they reconcile and eliminate		20		
21	between the affiliates and the other		21	Do you see that? A. Yes.	
22	assets, you know, it's obtaining support		22	A. Yes. Q. From your familiarity with hours	
23	for what is included in that category.		23	record keeping at the time frame of fiscal	
24	Q. That it exists and that the		24 25	year 1996, does this document, in your	
25	amounts are appropriate, that the assets		22	you. 1770, does av-1	
		Page 75			Page
	1.1 for them are		1	opinion and with your experience, indicate	
1	exist and that the amounts for them are		2	to you a total hours associates or other	
2	appropriate, generally?		3	accountants or auditors worked, the	
3	A. Correct. And that they're		4	budgeted amounts that were initially	
4	and the amounts should be capitalized as		5	established, and then the difference	
5	an asset. (Consolidated time budget was		6	between those two figures?	
6	hereby marked as Exhibit 4100 for		7	<ul> <li>A. I do not know specifically</li> </ul>	
7 8	identification, as of this date.)		8	that's what this document is doing, but we	
8	Q. Mr. Panucci, we've now just		9	have models similar to this we have used	
	handed you 4100, Exhibit 4100. And it		10		
10	again, is not again but is, in fact,		11	Q. Have you seen documents that	
11	titled a consolidated time budget. Am I		12		
11				A. Yes.	
12			13		
12 13	right?		13 14	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted	
12 13 14	right?  A. Correct.			Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours?	
12 13 14 15	right?  A. Correct.  Q. And that working paper name		14 15 16	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes.	
12 13 14 15 16	right?  A. Correct.  Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date		14 15	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one?	
12 13 14 15 16 17	right? A. Correct. Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date 6/30/96, which would indicate by itself		14 15 16	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one? A. I do not recall seeing this one.	
12 13 14 15 16 17	right? A. Correct. Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date 6/30/96, which would indicate by itself that it related to the fiscal year '96		14 15 16 17	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one? A. I do not recall seeing this one. Q. You do recall, however,	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right? A. Correct. Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date 6/30/96, which would indicate by itself that it related to the fiscal year '96 audit?		14 15 16 17 18	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one? A. I do not recall seeing this one. Q. You do recall, however, recording time for your engagements and	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right?  A. Correct.  Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date 6/30/96, which would indicate by itself that it related to the fiscal year '96 audit?  MR. LUFT: Objection.		14 15 16 17 18	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one? A. I do not recall seeing this one. Q. You do recall, however, recording time for your engagements and doing your best to do so accurately	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right?  A. Correct.  Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date 6/30/96, which would indicate by itself that it related to the fiscal year '96 audit?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  A. By itself correctly, but in the		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one? A. I do not recall seeing this one. Q. You do recall, however, recording time for your engagements and doing your best to do so accurately because you knew Coopers & Lybrand tracked	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right?  A. Correct.  Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date 6/30/96, which would indicate by itself that it related to the fiscal year '96 audit?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  A. By itself correctly, but in the title we have the '95 issue again.		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one? A. I do not recall seeing this one. Q. You do recall, however, recording time for your engagements and doing your best to do so accurately because you knew Coopers & Lybrand tracked those hours and compared it to budgeted	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right?  A. Correct.  Q. And that working paper name appears just below AHERF and the date 6/30/96, which would indicate by itself that it related to the fiscal year '96 audit?  MR. LUFT: Objection.  A. By itself correctly, but in the title we have the '95 issue again.  Q. And there is in the middle of		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Tracking hours and budgeted hours? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall seeing this one? A. I do not recall seeing this one. Q. You do recall, however, recording time for your engagements and doing your best to do so accurately because you knew Coopers & Lybrand tracked those hours and compared it to budgeted amounts?	

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

		Page 78			Page 80
l	Q. And when you recorded time, you		1	A. It is a her.	
	did your best to allot it to the various		2	Q. Having mentioned her just now,	
	tasks you were engaged in in the audit	1	3	do you recall that she was, indeed,	
	work?		4	involved in the auditing of endowments and	
;	A. Yes, but it was more we	1	5	investments with you?	
	looked at it more from a total hours than		6	A. Yes.	
	usually by specific item area.	}	7	Q. In fiscal year '96?	
	Q. However, you have no reason to		8	A. Yes.	
8	doubt, as you sit here today, that you did		9	Q. Do you recall what she was	
9	your best to log your hours at least by		10	engaged in that was different from you?	
	the categories set forth on the left-hand	į	11	Let me put that more generally. Do you	
1	the categories set forth on the lett-hand		12	recall what she was engaged in at all?	
	margin of Exhibit 4100. Am I right?		13	A. She assisted in, from what I can	
3	A. As of today, yes, that's		14	remember, she assisted in the confirmation	
4	correct.		15	process of the investment area.	
5	Q. And, in fact, this document,		16	Q. And what would that process	
6	like its immediate predecessor, indicates		17	entail?	
17	that you spent the predominant amount of		18	A. The tying out of the information	
18	your time on both pro fee and		19	from the third-party providers, from the	
19	endowments/investments, 108.5 hours and 90		20	financial institutions we talked about	
20	hours respectively; is that right?		21	earlier to the general ledger.	
21	A. Correct.		22	Q. The work you referred to in	
22	Q. This document because those		23	discussing the '95 audit program that was	
23	numbers fall in the category headed MP, am		1	placed before you?	
24	I right, for Mark Panucci?		24 25	A. Correct.	
25	A. Correct.		23	A. Contect.	
		Page 79			Page
			1	Q. And that's primarily what you	
1	Q. The column immediately to the		2	recall her being involved in?	
2	right of yours bears the initial PF, and		$\frac{2}{3}$	A. Yes.	
3	whomever it is that the initials PF		4	Q. Do you recall her being involved	
4	referred to has a significant amount of		5	in anything else in the endowments and	
5	time, at least compared to you, on the		6	c: 1.10	
6	order of 79 hours, on endowments and		7		
7	investments. Do you see that?		8		
8	A. I see that.				
9	Q. And do you recall whether you		10	1 C: 1:4h	
10	worked with anybody on endowments and		111		
11	investments with the initials PF in fiscal		12		
12	year 1996 with respect to the AHERF audit?		1	•	
13	A. I do not recall.		$\frac{13}{11}$		
14			14		
15			1:		
16			10	مأخنين المستخبين والمستخبين والمستخبر وال	
	A. I do recall that name, yes.		1	/ discussed items that she encountered with	
17				8 me, but then ultimately I would send her	
17 18			1	9 to another supervisor or I would talk to	
			2		
18	A. I think it's Francioni, but		2	1 Q. Do you recall any particular	
18 19 20	A. I think it's Francioni, but		ı	a discussions that she brought to Valle	
18 19 20 21	A. I think it's Francioni, but Q. Francioni with a softer C?		2		
18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it's Francioni, but Q. Francioni with a softer C? A. I think so.		2	3 attention or any particular topics,	
18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think it's Francioni, but Q. Francioni with a softer C? A. I think so. Q. Thank you.			<ul><li>attention or any particular topics,</li><li>rather, that she brought to your attention</li></ul>	
18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it's Francioni, but Q. Francioni with a softer C? A. I think so. Q. Thank you. And do you recall her now that I		2 2	3 attention or any particular topics,	

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Page 8	2	Page 84
A. Nothing specific.  Q. Anything in general?  A. Nothing that I can recall.  Q. If there was anything of moment  or importance to you that she brought to  your attention, we would find it in a work  paper, or mention of it in a work paper?  A. It all depends. If it was an  issue that needed an ultimate conclusion,  then it would be in a work paper. But if  there was no issue with it or, you know,  could be a misunderstanding of something,  we might not see that in a work paper.  Q. If recordation or recording,  perhaps better put, of any of your  discussions with Ms. Francioni does not  exist in a work paper, is there any other  document in which that discussion might be  recorded?  A. Not that I CAN think of.  Q. And that is because the work  papers are the place where these kinds of	1 on the front line, in any event, with 2 respect to investments and endowments 3 were you and Ms. Francioni? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. You reported to others at the 6 time? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And on endowments and 9 investments, to whom was that? 10 A. Principally to Amy Frazier. 11 Q. And when you say principally, 12 does that mean you reported to someone 13 else from time to time as well? 14 A. Amy was the primary person, but, 15 you know, there was conversations with 16 Mark Kirstein and Christa Porter who was 17 the overall lead senior at that point in 18 the '96 audit. 19 Q. And what was Amy Frazier's 20 title? 21 A. Amy Frazier was a manager, I 22 believe, in '96. 23 O. When you say Ms. Porter was the	
23 matters of significance are to be 24 reflected? 25 MR. LUFT: Objection.	24 lead senior, she was the lead senior what, 25 associate or manager?	
1 A. Well, as I've mentioned, if 2 there was something of significance, it 3 would be in the work papers. 4 Q. That was my question. 5 I'm looking at the budget again 6 with the actual versus budgeted amounts on 7 Exhibit 4100, and I see only one other 8 person with any time recorded for 9 endowments and investments, and that 10 person's initials are MS. Do you see the 11 two hour figure for the individual, MS? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Do you recall whether anybody 14 with those initials worked with you or 15 above you or beneath you on investments 16 and endowments in that fiscal year or for 17 that fiscal year? 18 A. I remember an individual by the 19 name of Mike Stewart with those initials. 20 I do not recall if he worked for me or 21 under me for as part of the 22 investments. 23 Q. And it's fair to say, then, 24 having gone through this budget just now, 25 the two people you recall being involved	1 A. Overall lead senior associate. 2 Q. Christine was, indeed, a 3 manager? 4 A. Christa. 5 Q. No. Mr. Kirstein. 6 A. Oh, I'm sorry. He was a 7 manager, yes. 8 Q. And Ms. Frazier was what at the 9 time? 10 A. A manager. 11 Q. And between the two of them, did 12 Ms. Frazier, as you understood it, report 13 to Mr. Kirstein? 14 A. I do not know. 15 Q. Did you have any 16 understanding I don't let my 17 question be as clear as it can be. I 18 don't mean to inquire about whether you 19 understood what the formal relationship 20 between the two people in the engagement 21 was. What I mean to ask you is, do you 22 recall having any understanding of who was 23 senior to whom on the AHERF audit between 24 Ms. Frazier and Mr. Kirstein in fiscal 25 year '96?	Page 8

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

	Page 124
and the second dentificant	1 A. I remember a request to other
of this audit step to identify and	2 individuals and receiving something, yes.
document the client's approach for adopting the new standard, receiving	3 Q. Do you recall what it was?
information from someone at AHERF other	4 A. I do not recall what it was.
than Ms. Cafarro?	5 Q. Is it fair to say that to the
A. Are we talking specifically	6 extent you thought information that you
about the Delaware Valley entities or are	7 received important in your analysis in
we talking about all of AHERF?	8 your work, you mention it in your work
Q. Well, at first I'd like to talk	9 papers?
about whether you received written	10 MR. LUFT: Objection.
information from someone other than	11 A. Again, I can't speak for what's
Ms. Cafarro about the East, the Delaware	12 in the work papers back in '96.
Valley enterprises, if you can recall	Q. I'm not asking you to memorize
today.	14 or to have memorized work papers and
A. I do recall Carolyn directing me	15 regurgitate them for me. What I'm asking
to other places for additional	16 is, was it your practice, in or about the
information.	17 time of this audit, to record in the work
O. Do you recall what those other	<ul><li>papers your receipt or your failure to</li><li>find important documentation?</li></ul>
places were or by whom they were peopled?	. 11 ::4-
A. I do not recall.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Q. Do you recall receiving anything	
from any other places other than	22 something and the support for our 23 conclusions. But being it's the company's
Ms. Cafarro with respect to the Delaware	24 adoption, I don't know if all the
Valley endowments and investments?	25 information you know, all the
5 A. Yes.	25 information year men, w
	Page 123
	1 information we received from them would
Q. From whom?	2 not be included in the work papers.
A. I do not recall.	3 Q. You don't know if it would?
Q. What do you recall receiving?	4 A. I don't know if it would or not.
A. I just remember there was	5 Q. If there was a lack of
5 conversations going through our audit and,	6 documentation that made it impossible for
6 you know, I said Carolyn, I don't I	7 you, in your judgment, to accurately
7 don't see the support in the binder for	8 determine the appropriateness of the
8 it. And she directed me to other places.	9 company's work with respect to the
9 To who, I don't know.	10 adoption of these new FASBs, would you
Q. Do you recall speaking with	11 have noted that in your work papers, that
people in the treasury department of AHERF	12 you completed?
12 in Pittsburgh?	13 A. I don't remember any discussion
A. I do not recall if it was in	14 of a lack of documentation that was needed
treasury or not.  Q. Do you recall speaking with	15 from the company on the adoption of the
4 1 1 1 1 I am ant department of	16 new standards.
1 - 1- and anartments?	17 Q. You don't recall that being a
	18 fact; is that fair to say, that there was
domartments or	19 a lack of documentation? You don't recall
	20 that being a fact?
20 not. 21 Q. Do you recall receiving anything	MR. LUFT: Objection.
21 Q. Do you recall receiving anything	A. What I remember is the company
22 in hard conv. electronic or other tangible	lea 1 116 117 that they had enough
22 in hard copy, electronic or other tangible	23 adopted 116, 117, that they had enough
in hard copy, electronic or other tangible readable format from, anyone other than Ms. Cafarro, regarding the eastern owned	23 adopted 116, 117, that they had chought 24 support to give their conclusion of the 25 classifications for 116, 117. And we were

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

		Page 126			Page 128
1	able to do an independent review of that		1	recollection and I think you were telling	
2	support, but it was mentioned because	Ì	2	me it did not.	
3	you're talking about old endowments that,		3	A. Correct.	
4	you know, the documentation might not be		4	Q. Do you recall receiving any	
5	complete, but there was enough information		5	written information from anyone else	
	contained within there to make that		6	besides Mr. Al Zwirn/Zworn with respect to	
	assessment.		7	the western enterprises or AHERF, the	
8	Q. To make your assessment?		8	parent?	
9	A. No. To make the company's		9	A. There was I know Carolyn was	
10	assessment, and we did our independent		10	not primarily responsible, but I don't	
1	review of that assessment.		11	know who my primary contact was as it	
2	Q. Right. And you do not recall,		12	related to the western side or AHERF, the	
13	as you sit here today, putting in a work		13	parent.	
4	paper that you did not have enough		14	Q. I will show you a work paper	
15	information to make your own independent		15	shortly and will tell you now, just	
16	assessment; is that right?		16	because it will save me the time reaching	
17	A. I don't recall anything saying		17	around and potentially bumping the court	
18	that, no.		18	reporter again, that a name appeared in	
19	Q. No. And you don't recall		19	the work papers, that is, Jack Lydon. Me	
20	concluding that; is that right?		20	having given voice to that name, does that	
21	A. Correct.		21	refresh your recollection that he might	
22	Q. Who was it, then, from whom you		22	have been your contact for other documentation besides Mr. Zwirn?	
23	received any of the same kinds of		23	A. I remember the name, Jack Lydon.	
24	documentation, what you called the		24	I don't recall if that was the specific	
25	underlying work papers and support for the		25	I don't recan it that was the specific	
		Page 127	-		Page 12
	1 .: CCDAC 116 and 117 for		1	audit area I was working with Jack on.	
1	adoption of SFAS 116 and 117 for		2	Q. What do you recall receiving	
2	enterprises other than the eastern		3	from either Mr. Zwirn or Mr. Lydon?	
3	Delaware Valley enterprises, that is, the		4	A. Similar information I received	
4	western enterprises, or AHERF, the parent		5	from the Delaware Valley entities, but it	
5	enterprise?		6	was the initial part of it was not as	
6	A. I remember an individual by the		7	one neat place binder as the Delaware	
7	name of Al Zworn providing information.		8	Valley's. But there was a, you know, a	
8	Q. And I only correct this to the extent it is a correction because I've		9	schedule that the client prepared. It	
9	seen his name recently on a subpoena, but		10	shows here's what our classifications are	
10	I believe the gentleman that worked at		11	and then another set of binders that had	
11	AHERF was named Al Zwirn, and maybe we're		12	6 4	
12	saying the same word, but I think his name		13	company's classification of those	
13	is spelled Z-w-i-r-n. Does that sound		14	endowments.	
14	right to you?		15	Q. Do you recall that the schedule	
15	A. That name sounds familiar. The		16	was in a binder or separately supplied?	
15	A That name solings familial. The		17	A. Separately supplied.	
16					
16 17	correction of the spelling I do not know.		18		
16 17 18	correction of the spelling I do not know.  Q. You were saying Z-w-o-r-n, at		18 19		
16 17 18 19	correction of the spelling I do not know.  Q. You were saying Z-w-o-r-n, at least in your own mind and voice, correct?		1	in what kind of a format?  A. I remember it was an Excel	
16 17 18 19 20	Q. You were saying Z-w-o-r-n, at least in your own mind and voice, correct?  A. Correct, and I thought that was		19	in what kind of a format?  A. I remember it was an Excel spreadsheet that had the classification.	
16 17 18 19 20 21	correction of the spelling I do not know.  Q. You were saying Z-w-o-r-n, at least in your own mind and voice, correct?  A. Correct, and I thought that was the spelling of that name.		19 20	in what kind of a format?  A. I remember it was an Excel spreadsheet that had the classification.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correction of the spelling I do not know.  Q. You were saying Z-w-o-r-n, at least in your own mind and voice, correct?  A. Correct, and I thought that was the spelling of that name.  Q. That's fine, and I want your		19 20 21	in what kind of a format?  A. I remember it was an Excel spreadsheet that had the classification.  Q. It wasn't parchment or slate, it	
16 17 18 19 20 21	correction of the spelling I do not know. Q. You were saying Z-w-o-r-n, at least in your own mind and voice, correct? A. Correct, and I thought that was the spelling of that name. Q. That's fine, and I want your testimony, not mine. But by prompting you		19 20 21 22	in what kind of a format?  A. I remember it was an Excel spreadsheet that had the classification.  Q. It wasn't parchment or slate, it was an Excel spreadsheet?	

		Page 130			Page 132
l	or a single page document?		1	this documentation from Mr. Zwirn on	
2	A. I do not recall.		2	western enterprises and AHERF, the parent,	
;	Q. Do you recall, then, that you	ļ	3	at the same time period, at the outset of	
ļ	received from Mr. Zwirn or Mr. Lydon	ĺ	4	your work?	
	and I'm going to use both names unless you	ŀ	5	A. For the AHERF parent, we did not	
5	tell me you're certain it was Mr. Zwirn an		6	receive any information until the year end	
7	not Mr. Lydon. Can you tell me that with		7	work and it was after the Delaware Valley	
3	certainty, that you received documentation		8	entity information was received. The	
)	from only one of them and not either of		9	reason was they were not ready to hand	
)	them?		10	over the adoption for 116, 117 at the	
1	A. Mr. Zwirn was the one who		11	outset of our audit.  Q. At the outset of?	
2	provided the binder to have the supporting		12		
3	documentation for it.	i	13	<ul><li>A. Our year end procedures.</li><li>Q. Thank you. That helps me get,</li></ul>	
4	Q. And he may or may not have		14 15	also in my mind, to the right time period.	
5	provided the schedule. Is that what you		16	When you say AHERF, the parent,	
6	are intimating?		17	do you also include in that any other	
7	A. He did not provide the schedule.		18	western enterprise like Allegheny General	
8	I don't know if it was Mr. Lydon who		19	Hospital?	
9	provided the schedule or not, but it was		20	A. Yes.	
0	somebody else.  O. That's helpful and I appreciate		21	Q. So any western or parent level	
1	your offering that for me.		22	endowment/investment support	
2 3	The question now is, do you		23	documentation, whether by way of schedule,	
<i>3</i>	recall receiving from Mr. Zwirn, then, two		24	three-ring binder, you got after the	
5	binders, three binders, one binder, or do		25	eastern support data?	
		Page 131			Page 13
1	you today really recall whether the		1	A. Correct.	
2	support information was all in one binder		2	Q. And not quite at the outset of	
3	or not?		3	your investment work as a part of your	
4	A. I do not recall if it was		4	year end audit work, but later in time	
5	multiple binders.		5	because the client was not ready yet to	
6	Q. It could have been one, it could		6	give you the information?	
7	have been more than one. Is that		7	A. Correct.	
8	accurate?		8	Q. And why is it that you	
9	A. It was at least one.		9	understood that the client was not ready yet to give you the information?	
0	Q. But I'm also right, it could		10	A. My understanding, the company	
1	have been more than one?		11 12		
2	A. Correct.		13	adoption of 116, 117 as it related to the	
13	Q. Do you recall whether you		14	western hospitals.	
14	received this information all in one		15	Q. And from whom did you gain this	
15	installment or whether you got any		16		
16	information in hard copy over time as you		17		
17	sit here today?  A. I can't recall.		18		
	A. I can't recall.  Q. When did you get the information		19		
	from Mr. Zwirn? The reason I ask it is		20	·	
19			21		
19 20	you mentioned that you got sort of at the				
19 20 21	you mentioned that you got sort of at the		22	WIIO IL Was:	
18 19 20 21 22	outset of your work on endowments and				
19 20 21 22 23	outset of your work on endowments and investments, which you believe, I think,		22 23 24	A. I do not know.	
19 20 21	outset of your work on endowments and		23	<ul><li>A. I do not know.</li><li>Q. What did you understand</li></ul>	

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

	Pa	ige 134			Page 13
1	A. I do not know.		1	audit work?	
2	Q. You understood Mr. Lydon to be a	Ì	2	A. My understanding back in the '96	
	part of the finance department, however?		3	audit or my understanding today?	
ļ	A. Yes.		4	Q. Well, if you have a recollection	
5	Q. Meaning the accounting		5	of your understanding then, share it with	
6	department, in general terms?		6	me.	
7	A. Yes.		7	A. I don't think I'd have a	
3	Q. The process that you've just			recollection as of '96 of what that was.	
)	described for us is really the same one			But as of today, and it's not worked in	
)	for both FASBs 116 and 117. Is that fair	1		the investment area in sometime now, as	
l	to say? The process of understanding and		11	far as not for the profit, but it's based	
2	learning the client's approach for		12	upon you have to look at the donor.	
3	adoption. You don't, in your mind, have		13	Q. Let's stop for a second. And I	
1	two sets of data retrieval in mind is what		14	don't mean to interrupt, only that I think	
5	I'm asking?	1	15	it will be clearer this way.	
5	A. No. And principally because		16 17	As you sit here today, you don't recall anything about what you understood	
7	116 most of the information we talked	1	1 / 18	to be the distinction between the asset or	
8	about was really the 116 adoption because		18 19	net asset classifications we just	
)	that deals with classifying the		20	discussed; is that right?	
0	investments. The 117 part really deals	l l	20 21	A. Yeah. I don't think back in	
1	with the financial statement presentation.		22	'96, I cannot recall, you know, what my	
2	Q. So this is all part and parcel		23	understanding of those classifications	
3	of the same process?		24	would be just because we are talking about	
4	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And the classification process,</li></ul>		25	'96.	
5	Q. And the classification process,				
	I	Page 135			Page 1
1		Page 135	1	O. No, and I don't mean to make	Page !
	as you understood it, from reading the	Page 135	1 2	Q. No, and I don't mean to make this more of a memory test than it is. I	Page
2	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and	Page 135		this more of a memory test than it is. I	Page
2	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was	Page 135	2		Page
2 3 4	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do	Page 135	2	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of	Page ·
2 3 4 5	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification	Page 135	2 3 4	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction	Page
2 3 4 5	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?	Page 135	2 3 4 5	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.	Page
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of assets were on the net asset side of the balance sheet?	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered temporarily or permanently restricted, so.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of assets were on the net asset side of the balance sheet?  A. They were on the asset side of	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered temporarily or permanently restricted, so.  Q. They would be considered	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of assets were on the net asset side of the balance sheet?	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered temporarily or permanently restricted, so.  Q. They would be considered unrestricted?	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of assets were on the net asset side of the balance sheet?  A. They were on the asset side of the balance sheet. They were investments.  Q. And describe for me your	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered temporarily or permanently restricted, so.  Q. They would be considered unrestricted?  A. It would be considered	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 7 1 8 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of assets were on the net asset side of the balance sheet?  A. They were on the asset side of the balance sheet. They were investments.  Q. And describe for me your understanding of the categories. What	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered temporarily or permanently restricted, so.  Q. They would be considered unrestricted?  A. It would be considered unrestricted.	Page
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of assets were on the net asset side of the balance sheet?  A. They were on the asset side of the balance sheet. They were investments.  Q. And describe for me your understanding of the categories. What qualified investment amounts or endowment	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered temporarily or permanently restricted, so.  Q. They would be considered unrestricted?  A. It would be considered unrestricted.  Q. Anything else?	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as you understood it, from reading the FASBs, the relevant literature, and discussing the topic with Ms. Frazier, was what; what was the client supposed to do as a matter of this new classification protocol set forth in FASB 116 or 117?  A. The company had to make a determination based upon donor intent if their investment should be classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted.  Q. And those were net asset categories?  A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?  Q. When displayed on actual financial statements, those categories of assets were on the net asset side of the balance sheet?  A. They were on the asset side of the balance sheet. They were investments.  Q. And describe for me your understanding of the categories. What	Page 135	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this more of a memory test than it is. I only want to know if you can tell me one way or the other whether you have any independent recollection, of any kind, of what you understood to be the distinction between the categories or classifications when you were doing the work in fiscal year '96. If you've got some understanding of it, I want you to share it with me.  A. Okay, fair. That understanding was certainly it was going to be based upon donor intention.  Q. Right.  A. That you no longer could have a board designated fund be considered temporarily or permanently restricted, so.  Q. They would be considered unrestricted?  A. It would be considered unrestricted.	Page

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

	Pa	age 190			Page 192
1	Q. You recall sampling those?		1	Q. It's stapled somewhat	
2	A. Yes.	Ì	2	differently because of the larger text,	
3	O. And when you say consolidated		3	but does that look that way to you?	
	AHERF, which I said much too rapidly, you		4	A. Yes.	
5	mean AHERF, the parent enterprise?	ì	5	Q. I'd like to the title of this	
6	A. And its subsidiaries.	ļ	6	document is called classification testing;	
7	Q. You recall sampling both?	ļ	7	is that right?	
8	A. Yes.	Ì	8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And how was the sample	l l	9	Q. And let me correct myself. What	
0	determined and by whom?	l	10	has been provided to you in large text	
1	A. That I do not recall.	Ì	11	copy as Exhibit 4109 is only the	
2	Q. Do you recall doing it yourself,		12	classification testing portion of Exhibit	
3	that is, determining what the sample would		13	4108; is that right, not the first page of	
4	be?		14	Exhibit 4108?	
5	A. I do not recall.		15	A. That is correct.	
6	Q. Do you recall why the number		16	Q. And this classification testing	
7	five was selected as the quantity or size		17	is marked as completed by you, last modified by you on two different dates,	
8	of the sample?	ļ	18 19	and then the modification history lists	
9	A. I do not recall.			only you; is that right?	
20	Q. Do you recall that coming down		20 21	A. That is correct.	
21	from a superior or do you recall that		22	Q. And so that means to the extent	
22	being generated by somebody at your level		23	that has C&L work product contained within	
23	or below?		24	it, that work product is yours?	
24	A. I do not recall either way.		25	A. Once again, we're dealing with	
25	Q. Because the schedule which is		23	A. Once again, we re drawing with	
		Page 191			Page 19
1	attached as the second to last page or a			an Excel spreadsheet. So there is the	
l	portion of this schedule which is attached		2	possibility of somebody else documenting	
2	as the second to last page of Exhibit 4108		3	something on this.	
4	is fairly fine in print, at least for my		4	Q. In the same way you described	
5	eyes, we have blown it up, if you will,		5	earlier?	
6	and made it larger in type by printing the		6	A. Yes.	
7	same schedule from the class database and		7	Q. But having had a chance to look	
8	that is the document I'm going to share		8	at the document, is it your testimony	
9	with you now.		9	today, to the best of your recollection,	
10	A. Okay.		10		
11	Q. And we will mark this as we		11	provided was, indeed, primarily your work	
12	break for a change of our videotape.		12		
13	(Larger text version of Exhibit		13		
14	4108 was marked Exhibit 4109 for		14		
15	identification, as of this date.)		15		
16	(A recess was taken at 2:18 p.m.		16		
17	and the Deposition continued at 2:28		17		
18	p.m.)		18		
	Q. Mr. Panucci, when we broke I had		19		
19	just handed you what we've now marked as		20		
			21		
20	4109 which is a slightly larger text		1 22	Q. But you don't see anything today	
20 21	4109 which is a slightly larger text version of Exhibit 4108, I think you will		1		
19 20 21 22 23	version of Exhibit 4108, I think you will		23	that triggers your memory that somebody	
20 21	4109 which is a slightly larger text version of Exhibit 4108, I think you will tell me if you have a chance to peak at it.		1	that triggers your memory that somebody else was involved; is that fair to say?	

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

	Page	194			Page 196
1	Q. Do you also believe, as you just	1	1	contribution, is that right, as you	
	testified, that this blown-up version	2	2	understand the schedule?	
	includes client supply data, at least the	3		A. I do not know if it's an	
	dollar figures in the columns on the	4	4	original contribution, but it's in a	
	spreadsheet?	5	5	column titled contribution.	
6	A. The dollar values in the columns	6	6	Q. And the five trusts amount or	
7	in the other information in the	7		the five amounts for the trusts in that	
8	spreadsheet, i.e., the cost center trust	8	8	contribution column sum total roughly 5.4	
	number description would be client	9	9	million dollars?	
	supplied information.	10	0	A. Correct.	
11	Q. Whereas the footnotes, as we've	1:	1	Q. And then the next sets of	
	just heard would be C&L and primarily you	12	2	columns which are two, are headed realized	
3	provided information?	1.	3	gain/loss; is that right?	
4	A. Correct.	14	4	A. Correct.	
15	Q. In this analysis of endowments,	1:	5	Q. And we have two periods or two	
16	is labeled as including the permanently	10	6	times at which these realized gains are	
17	restricted endowments, is that right, in	1	7	purportedly measured by your read of the	
18	the left-hand upper left-hand corner?	1	8	schedule, is that right, June 30, '95 and	
19	A. That is the title that I read as	1	9	June 30, '96?	
20	of today, yes.	2	0.0	A. That is the title in the	
21	Q. And do you recall that there	2	21	columns, yes.	
22	were certain permanently restricted	2	22	Q. And the June 30, '95 total for	
23	endowments carried at the AHERF parent	2	23	the realized gains or losses on the five	
24	enterprise level at AHERF in fiscal year	2		listed trusts is roughly 61.1 million	
25	1996 that were commonly referred to as the	2	25	dollars?	
	•				
_	Paj	ge 195			Page 197
1	Lockhart trusts?		1	A. That is correct.	
1	A. I do not recall specifically.		2	Q. And the sum for realized gain as	
2		1	3	of June 30, '96 is 7.4 million dollars?	
3	Q. Having the opportunity to read the descriptions of the five trusts listed		4	A. Correct.	
4	in Exhibit 4109, the first three of which	1		Q. And does it appear to you that	
5			`		
		ļ	5 6	the subtotal that is derived from adding	
6	include the initials JM and the surname	i	6	the subtotal that is derived from adding 5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars	
7	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your	Ī	6 7	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars	
7 8	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?		6 7 8	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value	
7 8 9	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my		6 7 8 9	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly	
7 8 9 10	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.		6 7 8 9	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?	
7 8 9 10	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection. Q. So as you sit here today, I say		6 7 8 9 10	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work,	
7 8 9 10 11 12	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection. Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart	1	6 7 8 9 10 11	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.	
7 8 9 10 11 12	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?	1 1 1	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That is, dollar figures generally, is that	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.  Q. And here we have the measurement	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That is, dollar figures generally, is that right, in just describing the schedule in	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.  Q. And here we have the measurement again at June 30, '95 and at June 30, '96,	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That is, dollar figures generally, is that right, in just describing the schedule in a general way?	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.  Q. And here we have the measurement again at June 30, '95 and at June 30, '96, one column for each?	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That is, dollar figures generally, is that right, in just describing the schedule in a general way?  A. That is correct.		6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20 21	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.  Q. And here we have the measurement again at June 30, '95 and at June 30, '96, one column for each?  A. Yes, that's the title June 30 of	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That is, dollar figures generally, is that right, in just describing the schedule in a general way?  A. That is correct.  Q. If I start and read this,		6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.  Q. And here we have the measurement again at June 30, '95 and at June 30, '96, one column for each?  A. Yes, that's the title June 30 of '95 and June 30 of '96.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That is, dollar figures generally, is that right, in just describing the schedule in a general way?  A. That is correct.  Q. If I start and read this, perhaps counter-intuitively, at least to		6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20 21 22 23	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.  Q. And here we have the measurement again at June 30, '95 and at June 30, '96, one column for each?  A. Yes, that's the title June 30 of '95 and June 30 of '96.  Q. And as of June 30, '95, the	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	include the initials JM and the surname Lockhart, does that refresh your recollection?  A. It does not refresh my recollection.  Q. So as you sit here today, I say the words when I say the words Lockhart trust to you, that really is meaningless?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. These endowments listed on Exhibit 4109 have a series of different values assigned to them. That is, dollar figures generally, is that right, in just describing the schedule in a general way?  A. That is correct.  Q. If I start and read this,		6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5.4 million dollars, 61.1 million dollars and 7.4 million dollars is the book value in the next column to the left of roughly 74 million dollars?  A. As an estimate, that could work, yes.  Q. All right. And then continuing to read the spreadsheet from right to left, we have unrealized gains and loss in two columns; is that fair to say?  A. Yes.  Q. And here we have the measurement again at June 30, '95 and at June 30, '96, one column for each?  A. Yes, that's the title June 30 of '95 and June 30 of '96.	

		Page 206		•	Page 208
1	Q. It may have, but you don't		1	year end, was not released as	
	recall?		2	unrestricted, at that point, when in the	
3	A. Right.	İ	3	future if ever, could it be given your	
4	Q. And that would be the same for		4	matching scenario?	
	footnote A. It may have included the		5	MR. RYAN: I believe he just	
	word, income. It may have included		6	answered that, Jim.	
	realized/unrealized gains. You just don't		7	MR. JONES: He may have, but I	
8	recall today?		8	would like to hear it again or maybe	
9	A. Correct. In your second part,	!	9	in different words.	
10	you asked about the temporarily restricted		10	Q. Is it ever appropriate to	
11	classification?		11	release it in the future, in your view?	
12	Q. I did. Why is it, if you can		12	A. Yes, whenever you have expensed	
13	recall, that the prior year amounts were	1	13	the amounts for that purpose. So the	
14	maintained, the prior year's sums were		14 15	expense and the income will show up in the unrestricted fund at the same time.	
15	maintained as temporarily restricted?	•	16	Q. So what you're assuming is that	
16	A. Again, the understanding today		17	if there were prior expense amounts	
17	because the company would not have known		18	incurred in prior years, because you	
18	if they received the money and expensed it		19	couldn't do an effective matching, you	
19	all in the same current year or all as it		20	don't take it in one year, but you leave	
20	related in the same years and the prior	ļ	21	it and you perhaps take it in going	
21	year. So you would not have that option under FAS 116 to include it all within		22	forward years to the extent appropriate	
22	unrestricted.		23	expense matching can be documented?	
23			24	MR. LUFT: Objection.	
24 25	<ul><li>Q. It's a matching issue to you?</li><li>A. Matching or timing issue of when</li></ul>		25	Q. Is that a fair characterization?	
23	A. Matching of thining issue of when				
		Page 207			Page 20
1	you receive and expense the amount.		1	A. You can record it when you have	
1	Q. When, then, if ever, would it be		2	made and recorded the expenses. Then both	
2	appropriate to release those amounts as		3	the income and the expense would be	
3	unrestricted?		4	recorded in the unrestricted fund in the	
5	MR. LUFT: Objection.		5	same year.	
6	Q. Those that were maintained in		6	Q. I'm going to ask you to look at	
7	temporarily restricted categories in		7	footnote G briefly. And footnote G, I	
8	fiscal year '96?		8	believe you'll tell me, refers to portions	
9	A. Do you mean release them from		9	of the realized gain set forth in the	
10	temporarily restricted?		10	Schedule 4 or as of June 30, '95; is that	
11	Q. Into unrestricted, which is, I		11	right?	
12	think, where they go at that point. Am I		12		
13	right?		13		
14	A. Yes, based upon FAS 116 and not		14		
15	knowing the details of the audit, but as		15		
16	relates to FAS 116, when you expense the		16		
17	items, what you want to expense it for,		17		
18	both the expense and the income will go		18		
19	into the unrestricted fund. So again, the		19		
20	net effect would be zero.		20		
	Q. I guess maybe we're talking past		21		
21	1 41 The baing loss than accurate		22		
22	each other or I'm being less than accurate			TE TERRED S TO SAV INAL OHIV INC	
22 23	in my question. But if this prior year		23		
22			23 24 25	original contributions will be classified	

	Pa	ige 210			Page 212
seei	ms to say that prior year's amounts		1	over year on an audit.	
will	be classified as temporary and		2	Q. But it isn't the practice to put	
neri	manent. Is that a grammatical issue or		3	irrelevant, unimportant material in a	
	blem perhaps?	Į.	4	permanent binder; is that fair to say?	
A	A. It could be. I do not know.		5	A. I think there are times things	
	). Then you don't have any recall		6	are put into a permanent binder that may	
	t at this point?		7	or may not have relevance in the future	
	A. I do not.		8	and it could stay in a permanent binder.	
	2. This does not need to be marked.		9	Q. It is not the purpose of the	
) A	A. Okay. Sorry. Just didn't know			permanent binder, however, to house	
the	protocol.		11	irrelevant material; is that fair to say?  A. That is fair.	
2 (	Q. A break with habit.	i i	12		
3	Mr. Panucci, I've just handed		13	Q. And it is, in fact, the purpose,	
1 you	u what has been marked earlier in this	l l	14	I think, as you've described it, to house	
5 liti	gation, but apparently not that much	1	15	material that is at least noteworthy enough that someone believes a future set	
6 ear	lier, as Exhibit 4061 which I think,	1	16	of auditors might want to look at it	
7 upo	on your review, you will tell me is a		17		
8 co1	py of a permanent binder in the files of	ı	18	during future audits?	
9 Co	opers & Lybrand related to endowments at		19	A. Yes. Q. There are apparently permanent	
0 AF	HERF and AGH; is that right?		20	binders that are broken down by discrete	
.1	A. It is an AHERF permanent binder		21	category of audit topics, including	
2 tha	at is titled endowments.		22 23	endowments?	
:3	Q. Do you recall reviewing the	I .	23 24	A. It could range from a variety of	
24 pe	rmanent binder for AHERF or AGH		24 25	areas. It could include topics, a	
25 en	dowments during your work on AHERF		23	areas. It could mondo repress, a	
		Page 211			Page 2
			1	specific endowment, to endowments or to	
	dowments and investments in fiscal year		2	other wide ranges of items.	
2 '96			3	Q. That's what I mean. I mean, at	
3	A. I do not recall.		4	least this permanent binder appears to	
4	Q. At the top of the document	Į	5	relate only to endowments, fair to say, at	
5 ha	as the first page of the document has		6	least by heading, where it says contents	
	ome handwriting; is that right?		7	endowments?	
7	A. Yes.		8	A. By heading on the front cover,	
8	Q. Is any of it yours?		9	yes.	
9	<ul><li>A. It does not appear to be.</li><li>Q. What is a permanent binder,</li></ul>		10	O. And it's your practice and	
10	Q. What is a permanent binder, enerally, at C&L in this time period?		11	understanding from your work at C&L that	
_	A. Permanent binder was documents		12		
12	r information that would that could or		13		
13 01	ould not be relevant year after year		14	A. Yes.	
14 c	uring an audit. So it was included in		15		
15 d	he permanent binders in comparison to a		16	i a e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
16 th	urrent year binder that, as you go		17	-	
17 c	orward, is not relevant from an audit		18		
	rom each audit year.		19	O. I'm going to ask you to skip	
	Q. Within a permanent binder is		20	into the page that bears the Bates label	
20	naterial that is deemed to be of perhaps		21	31992, which is headed permanent binder	
21 n	naterial that is deemed to be of perhaps anduring importance or significance?		22	1 .0	
	MR. LUFT: Objection.		23		
23	MR. LOF1: Objection.  Q. Is that right?		24	Q. And it has your initials in a	
	Q. Is that right:		ı	1006	
24 25	A. It could have an impact year		125	of the first four four 1990, to the	

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

Page 238			Page 240
	1	A That's what it appears to be.	
	1		
	i		
	5	Mr. Zwirn?	
	6	A. I remember microfiche pages	
	7	included in the binder.	
	8	Q. Microfiche copied like this?	
	9	A. Yes.	
	10	Q. Do you recall reviewing the John	
	11		
	12		
A. There's a mark on the restricted	13		
part for income that I don't know what	14		
	15		
Q. And the clearer X is with	16		
unrestricted; is that right?	17		
A. Yes. As it relates to income,			
	19		
Q. In any event, this document is			
not a Coopers & Lybrand generated			
document, to your knowledge; am I right?		page Bates labeled ED 54. Do you see	
A. That is correct.			
	- 1		
generated document?	25	profits thereof, hereinafter called	
Page 23	9		Page 24
	1	income"?	
	- 1		
	- 1		
	`		
	1		
"Special provisions: Income in the amount			
	8		
curvivor of the 12 original annuitants "	9		
	1 '		
	11	A. I do not recall.	
	12		
	14	you to look at article the top of the	
Marshall Lockhart endowment documents	15		
	16	• •	
	17		
	18		
	19		
· ·	1		
Q. If you look at ED 53 in these	21	Do you see that?	
and the pages that follow for several are	22		
		0 0 11 11 11 11 11 11	
	23		
black microfiche, hard copies of microfiche that are black in background	23 24		
•	that bears the title, rather, "Allegheny General Hospital endowments and trusts investment fund, John Marshall Lockhart fund, Mellon trust number 500-007 in barely legible print. Is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And it notes at the base of the page that the type of restrictions on the trust, at least by the author's account, were "principal restricted and income apparently unrestricted." Is that right, by Xes, although it looks to me A. There's a mark on the restricted part for income that I don't know what that is or what it means. Q. And the clearer X is with unrestricted; is that right? A. Yes. As it relates to income, yes. Q. In any event, this document is not a Coopers & Lybrand generated document, to your knowledge; am I right? A. That is correct. Q. It appears to you to be a client generated document?  Page 23  A. Yes. Q. And do you recall reviewing this document. Q. And you see that it reads, "Special provisions: Income in the amount of \$250 per month is paid to the sole survivor of the 12 original annuitants," and continues from there? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to ask you to look further back into this set of documents into the microfiche portion of the John Marshall Lockhart endowment documents which have 500, the number 500-007 at the top of the page. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes.	that bears the title, rather, "Allegheny General Hospital endowments and trusts investment fund, John Marshall Lockhart fund, Mellon trust number 500-007 in barely legible print. Is that right? A. Yes. Q. And it notes at the base of the page that the type of restrictions on the trust, at least by the author's account, were "principal restricted and income apparently unrestricted." Is that right, by Xes, although it looks to me A. There's a mark on the restricted part for income that I don't know what that is or what it means. Q. And the clearer X is with unrestricted; is that right? A. Yes. As it relates to income, yes. Q. In any event, this document is not a Coopers & Lybrand generated document, to your knowledge; am I right? A. That is correct. Q. It appears to you to be a client generated document?  Page 239  A. Yes. Q. And do you recall reviewing this document during your '96 audit work? A. I do not recall reviewing this document. Q. And you see that it reads, "Special provisions: Income in the amount of \$250 per month is paid to the sole survivor of the 12 original annuitants," and continues from there? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to ask you to look further back into this set of documents into the microfiche portion of the John Marshall Lockhart endowment documents which have 500, the number 500-007 at the top of the page. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes.	that bears the title, rather, "Allegheny General Hospital endowments and trusts investment fund, John Marshall Lockhart fund, Mellon trust number 500-007 in barely legible print. Is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And it notes at the base of the page that the type of restrictions on the trust, at least by the author's account, were "principal restricted and income apparently unrestricted." Is that right, by Xes, although it looks to me A. Ther's a mark on the restricted part for income that I don't know what that is or what it means. Q. And the clearer X is with unrestricted; is that right? A. Yes. and the clearer X is with unrestricted, is that right? A. That is correct. Q. In any event, this document is not a Coopers & Lybrand generated document, to your knowledge; am I right? A. That is correct. Q. It appears to you to be a client generated document?  A. Yes. Q. And do you recall reviewing this document during your '96 audit work? A. I do not recall reviewing this document. Q. And you see that it reads, "Special provisions: Income in the amount of \$250 per month is paid to the sole survivor of the 12 original annuitants," and continues from there? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to ask you to look further back into this set of documents which have 500, the number 500-007 at the top of the page. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And that's Bates page ED 53. A. Yes. Q. And the clearer X is with In Tremember microfiche pages Included in the binder.  A. Tremember microfiche pages Included in the binder.  A. Tremember microfi

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

	P	age 242			Page 244
	lybody else at AHERF in the fiscal year		1	looked at or are you referring to a	
	lybody else at AHERF in the Hisear year	j	2	specific one?	
	A. I do not recall.	Ì	3	MR. JONES: I'm speaking of any	
	Q. As you read that language today	Ì	4	endowment that he can recall.	
337	ith the familiarity of the	Ì	5	A. I do not recall.	
cl	assifications called for by the FASBs		6	Q. Do you recall specifically for	
cl 11	16, 117 and 124 that we've been		7	the Lockhart trust we're reviewing now how	
di	iscussing, do you recall making any		8	management classified sums that would be	
d	etermination about the propriety of how	ļ	9	derived from the sale of securities of the	
th	ne sums set forth described in that	[:	10	fund at a premium or profit?	
l se	entence should be characterized or		11	A. I do not recall.	
	lassified?		12	Q. As you read the language today,	
3	MR. LUFT: Objection. Are you		13	and if you were called upon to make the	
5 4	asking about what he was reading today		14	determination about the propriety of the	
<del>†</del> 5	or asking back then?		15	classification of sums derived from the	
5 6	MR. JONES: I asked him about		16	sale of securities of the trust fund at a	
7	what he's reading today and if he had	-	17	premium or a profit and the rest of the	
8	any recall.		18	language that describes them here by	
9	MR. LUFT: I'm still unclear.		19	instructing that such premium and profit	
:0	Q. We just read a sentence.		20	shall become a part of the corpus and not	
:1	A. Yes.		21	income, what classification would you	
2	Q. About the event of the sale of		22	apply to those sums?	
:3 s	securities of the trust at a premium or a		23	MR. LUFT: You're asking him to	
24 r	profit, correct?	Ì	24	make a determination today based on	
25	A. Yes.		25	this one sentence?	
		Page 243			Page 2
		Tuge 2 to	١,	MR. JONES: Yes, on this	
1	Q. Do you recall anything about how		1 2	sentence.	
2	you would have determined the propriety of		3	A. Based upon today, I think I	
3	the classification of sums that were		4	would still want more information to get	
4	reflected by the sale of securities of the		5	clarification of what is meant by this	
5	trust at a premium or a profit, at a		6	sentence. You know, talking to engagement	
	premium or profit during the 1996 audit		7	teams, talking to the client, and the	
-	work?		8	review of 116, 117.	
8	A. I do not recall.		9	Q. What information would you need	
9	Q. You don't know how you passed on		10	t it is a second toom?	
10	their classification one way or the other?		11		
11	A. I mean, we would have		12	1 1 1 1	
12	management would have had their		13	11 h a form	
	classification and we would have done our		14	TI	
13				1	
	independent review. But, you know, I		115	· ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
13	don't recall any specific discussions		15	determination was, what their	
13 14	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.		16	o determination was, what their classification was. And you know, based	
13 14 15 16 17	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.  Q. Do you recall or language		16 17	7 classification was. And you know, based	
13 14 15 16 17 18	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.  Q. Do you recall or language like this?		16 17 18	classification was. And you know, based upon that, whether we could agree to that	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence. Q. Do you recall or language like this? A. Yes, correct.		16 17 18 19	classification was. And you know, based upon that, whether we could agree to that or not based upon 116, 117.	
13 14 15 16 17 18	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.  Q. Do you recall or language like this?  A. Yes, correct.  O. Do you recall what management's,		16 17 18 19 20	classification was. And you know, based upon that, whether we could agree to that or not based upon 116, 117.  Q. I understand. And if their	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.  Q. Do you recall or language like this?  A. Yes, correct.  Q. Do you recall what management's, that is, AHERF's classification of sums		16 17 18 19 20 21	classification was. And you know, based upon that, whether we could agree to that or not based upon 116, 117. Q. I understand. And if their determination was either temporary or unrestricted for these sums derived from	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.  Q. Do you recall or language like this?  A. Yes, correct.  Q. Do you recall what management's, that is, AHERF's classification of sums that were derived from the sale of trust		16 17 18 19 20 21 22	classification was. And you know, based upon that, whether we could agree to that or not based upon 116, 117. Q. I understand. And if their determination was either temporary or unrestricted for these sums derived from	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.  Q. Do you recall or language like this?  A. Yes, correct.  Q. Do you recall what management's, that is, AHERF's classification of sums that were derived from the sale of trust securities at a premium or a profit was?		16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 22	classification was. And you know, based upon that, whether we could agree to that or not based upon 116, 117. Q. I understand. And if their determination was either temporary or unrestricted for these sums derived from the sale of securities, would you agree	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't recall any specific discussions around this area or this sentence.  Q. Do you recall or language like this?  A. Yes, correct.  Q. Do you recall what management's, that is, AHERF's classification of sums that were derived from the sale of trust		16 17 18 19 20 21 22	classification was. And you know, based upon that, whether we could agree to that or not based upon 116, 117. Q. I understand. And if their determination was either temporary or unrestricted for these sums derived from the sale of securities, would you agree with it as you read it today?	

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

	Page 2	60		Page 252
1	disagreement with the client or a	1	classifications they made in compliance	
2	difference of opinion with the client in	2	with FASB 116 and 117 on their endowments	
3	the way that it had classified unrealized	3	and investments in fiscal year '96 save	
4	gains over time?	4	for the footnote we just discussed; is	
5	A. Not that I can recall.	5	that right?	
6	Q. Do you recall having a	6	A. Not that I can recall, no. No	
7	disagreement with the client or difference	7	final disagreements, no.	
8	of opinion with the client with respect to	8	Q. You can't recall any today,	
9	any of its classifications under FASB 116	9	correct?	
10	and 117?	10	<ul><li>A. Right.</li><li>Q. And then my question next is, do</li></ul>	
11	A. Well, as of today, I think we	11 12	you recall having any concerns do you	
12	reviewed one of those in the documents.	13	recall any intermediary concerns or	
13	Q. We saw one note, you're right.	14	disagreements with the client on their	
14	Save and except for that, do you recall	15	classifications, as you sit here today?	
15	any other disagreements?	16	A. Well, I mean, as part of the	
16	A. Not that I can recall.	17	audit process, there's the ongoing	
17	Q. In the language that we just	18	dialogue of questions, issues, concerns,	
18	reviewed regarding gains on the sale of securities, do you see any time	19	as you go back and forth. But in a final	
19	restriction?	20	determination, final conclusion, based	
20 21	MR. LUFT: You just want him to	21	upon our independent review, I do not	
21 22	review the language, just that	22	recall any disagreements with the	
23	sentence, not the whole?	23	classifications.	
24	MR. JONES: Yes.	24	Q. I understand. And my question	
25	A. Just that sentence?	25	is, do you recall any of those discussions	
	Page :	:51		Page 253
1	Q. Yes.	1	or back and forth in which you were	
2	A. I do not see any time	2	voicing some concern about the propriety	
3	restrictions in that sentence.	3	of the classifications, as you sit here	
4	Q. Do you see any restriction that	4	today?	
5	would be lifted with the occurrence of an	5	A. Not that I can specifically	
6	event?	6	recall, no.	
7	A. Not in that sentence, no.	7	Q. Do you recall anything in a	
8	Q. Or the happening of a condition?	8	general way?	
9	A. Not in that sentence, no.	9	A. Not that I could recall.	
10	Q. I think you told us that you do	10		
11	not recall conversations with AHERF	11	question was, do you recall having any	
12		12		
13	personnel about the classifications or	13	documentation for the endowments and	
14		14	•	
15		15		
16		16 17	•	
117		18		
		19		
18	A. Can you repeat that again?	20		
19	O Was Franchistan a hand and	1		
19 20	Q. Yes, I can. That was a hard one	1 2 1	endowments, whether of his. Catallo of	
19 20 21	Q. Yes, I can. That was a hard one and I'm just trying to understand it. I	21	Mr. Zwirn or anyone else, that there was	
19 20 21 22	Q. Yes, I can. That was a hard one and I'm just trying to understand it. I think where we are, so that we don't have	22		
19 20 21 22 23	Q. Yes, I can. That was a hard one and I'm just trying to understand it. I think where we are, so that we don't have to backtrack, is that, as you sit here	22 23	any missing documentation, in your view?	
19 20 21 22	Q. Yes, I can. That was a hard one and I'm just trying to understand it. I think where we are, so that we don't have to backtrack, is that, as you sit here today, you cannot recall any disagreements	22	any missing documentation, in your view?  A. Well, the representation we got	

		Page 254			Page 256
1	that we have. It was it could be		1	Q. Do you recall going to any third	
	partial or full agreements, but there was		2	parties or requesting of any third parties	
3	enough information for us to determine, as		3	any additional documentation with respect	
4	management, the classification of the	Ì	4	to any endowment or investment during your	
5	endowments. And when we did our		5	fiscal year '96 audit work?	
	independent review, we did not take		6	A. Do you mean can you repeat	
	exception that there was enough		7	that?	
8	information to determine those		8	Q. Yes. Do you recall going to or	
9	classifications.		9	inquiring of any third party regarding an	
10	Q. So what you are telling me is		10	effort to get your hands on additional	
11	that there may have been some missing		11	endowment or trust or investment	
12	documentation, but in your view, or in		12	documentation that might have been missing	
13	C&L's view, it wasn't sufficient to cause		13	in the material that the client had given	
14	you to take exception with the		14	you during your fiscal year '96 audit	
15	classifications of the client?		15	work?	
16	MR. LUFT: Objection.		16	A. I do not recall specifically trying to get third party information as	
17	A. Management made a determination		17	it related to documentation that was not	
18	there was enough information to classify		18	included in the binder. But there is	
19	the investments. And based upon our		19	third party information you receive on the	
20	independent review, there was nothing that		20 21	investments, i.e., the trustee statements.	
21	came to our attention that there needed to			Q. You did some tying work where	
22	be additional information to classify the		22 23	you got written documentation to help you	
23	investments.		24	with the tying?	
24	Q. All right. And then my		25	A. Correct.	
25	follow-up question is, did you,		23	A. Contect.	
		Page 255	-		Page 2
	11 bassa a comporm about		1	Q. Among other things, perhaps?	
1	personally, ever have a concern about		2	A. Correct.	
2	missing documentation with respect to any		3	Q. But you don't recall trying to	
3	endowment or investment that you were		4	find underlying endowment documentation or	
4	charged with reviewing?  MR. LUFT: Objection.		5	investment vehicle documentation from	
5	A. Not that I can recall.		6	third parties; is that right?	
6	Q. Do you recall taking any steps		7	A. As it relates to the	
8	to secure additional documentation or what		8	classification of the investments, no, I	
9	was believed to be missing documentation		9	don't recall.	
10	with respect to any of the endowments or		10	Q. Do you recall trying to get that	
11	investments you were charged with		11	underlying endowment or investment vehicle	
12	reviewing or auditing?		12	documentation for any other purpose?	
ı	A. Only in what we talked about		13		
	A. Only in what we taked as a line		14	about, the confirmation process and the	
13	earlier today. If it was not included in		ے دا	trustee statement process.	
14	earlier today. If it was not included in the hinder management, you know, sent me		15		
14 15	the binder management, you know, sent me		16	Q. Well, that is isn't an	
14 15 16	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to		1	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's	
14 15 16 17	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to obtain that information.		16	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's a statement of account balances; am I	
14 15 16 17 18	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to obtain that information.  O. Do you recall receiving any		16 17	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's a statement of account balances; am I right?	
14 15 16 17 18 19	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to obtain that information.  Q. Do you recall receiving any documentation from anyone else, though, as		16 17 18	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's a statement of account balances; am I right?  A. Well, I would still define that	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to obtain that information.  Q. Do you recall receiving any documentation from anyone else, though, as you sit here today? I know you might have		16 17 18 19	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's a statement of account balances; am I right?  A. Well, I would still define that as underlying documentation for support of	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to obtain that information.  Q. Do you recall receiving any documentation from anyone else, though, as you sit here today? I know you might have had discussions, but the question I have		16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's a statement of account balances; am I right?  A. Well, I would still define that as underlying documentation for support of the accounts.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to obtain that information.  Q. Do you recall receiving any documentation from anyone else, though, as you sit here today? I know you might have had discussions, but the question I have is, do you recall receiving anything		16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's a statement of account balances; am I right?  A. Well, I would still define that as underlying documentation for support of the accounts.  O. I hear you and what I meant to	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the binder management, you know, sent me to other people within the organization to obtain that information.  Q. Do you recall receiving any documentation from anyone else, though, as you sit here today? I know you might have had discussions, but the question I have is, do you recall receiving anything tangible in hard copy or electronic format		16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Well, that is isn't an underlying documentation, though. That's a statement of account balances; am I right?  A. Well, I would still define that as underlying documentation for support of the accounts.  Q. I hear you and what I meant to	

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

		Page 258			Page 260
l	documents or investment vehicle documents.		1	documentations.	
	You don't recall trying to get those for	}	2	Q. And how is it that you know that	
	any purpose; am I right?	Ì	3	Amy was aware of such a representation?	
Ļ	A. I don't recall, no.		4	A. Because Amy and I talked about	
,	Q. I am right that you don't recall		5	it.	
,	trying to get them; is that right?		6	Q. And the conversation was	
7	A. That's correct.		7	essentially that she was told the same	
3	Q. You weren't on the phone with		8	thing you were told?  A. Yeah. I don't know how the	
)	Mellon Bank or any Mellon financial		9 10	context was. I don't know if Amy was told	
0	institution or any other financial		11	from the client, Amy told me or vice	
1	institution asking for the fundamental		12	versa. I don't know the nature of how it	
2	trust documents from any earlier point in		13	was told to us.	
3	time; is that right?		14	Q. But you and she both understood	
4	A. That is right.		15	from the client, it is your belief, that	
5	Q. And you do recall some of these trust documents or endowment documents to		16	certain underlying trust documentation was	
6			17	not at least in the client's files?	
7	be old?		18	A. Yes.	
8	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. If you had made such a contact</li></ul>		19	Q. Do you recall discussing with	
9			20	her, then, any efforts to get it from some	
0.	with a third party to get additional underlying trust or endowment		21	other source?	
1	documentation, would that be reflected in		22	A. Not that I can recall.	
2	your work papers?		23	Q. Do you recall discussing with	
!3 !4	MR. LUFT: Objection.		24	anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers such an	
25	A. Are you asking me as of today or		25	effort?	
	in the years g				
		Page 259			Page 26
1	in the fiscal year '96?		1	A. Not that I can recall.	
2	Q. What you recall of your		2	Q. Do you recall seeking out the	
3	practices in fiscal year '96.		3	input of specialists or lawyers at	
4	A. I do not recall any situation on		4	PriceWaterhouseCoopers with respect to	
5	the entities I've audited and as it		5	your classification audit work?	
6	relates to hospitals or not-for-profits		6	MR. LUFT: Objection.	
7	needing to go to third party confirmations		7	Q. Other than Mr. Thomas.	
8	as it relates to endowment agreements, so.		8	A. Except for Mr. Thomas, I can't	
9			9	recall anybody else.	
10	practice?		10	Q. Do you recall discussing whether a specialist in endowment or investment	
11	A. I don't have, right, I don't		11		
• •	have a standard to answer that question		12	consulted as a part of your classification	
			117	consulted as a part of your classification	
12	upon.		13	work?	
12 13	upon. O. Do you recall discussing with		14		
12 13 14 15	upon.  Q. Do you recall discussing with  anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the		14 15	A. Not that I can recall.	
12 13 14 15	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or		14 15 16	<ul><li>A. Not that I can recall.</li><li>Q. Do you recall ever yourself</li></ul>	
12 13 14 15 16	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation?		14 15 16 17	<ul><li>A. Not that I can recall.</li><li>Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or</li></ul>	
12 13 14 15 16 17	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation? A. Not that I can recall, but I		14 15 16 17 18	A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or endowment language that you reviewed	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation? A. Not that I can recall, but I know others were aware of it. I don't		14 15 16 17 18	A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or endowment language that you reviewed called for you to seek legal advice?	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation? A. Not that I can recall, but I know others were aware of it. I don't know if it was me telling them or not,		14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or endowment language that you reviewed called for you to seek legal advice?  A. Not that I can recall.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation? A. Not that I can recall, but I know others were aware of it. I don't know if it was me telling them or not, but		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not that I can recall.  Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or endowment language that you reviewed called for you to seek legal advice?  A. Not that I can recall.  MR. JONES: We'll break here and	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation? A. Not that I can recall, but I know others were aware of it. I don't know if it was me telling them or not, but Q. How was it		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not that I can recall. Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or endowment language that you reviewed called for you to seek legal advice? A. Not that I can recall. MR. JONES: We'll break here and we will shortly thereafter conclude.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation? A. Not that I can recall, but I know others were aware of it. I don't know if it was me telling them or not, but Q. How was it A I know Amy Frazier was aware		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not that I can recall. Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or endowment language that you reviewed called for you to seek legal advice? A. Not that I can recall. MR. JONES: We'll break here and we will shortly thereafter conclude. (A recess was taken at 4:08 p.m.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	upon. Q. Do you recall discussing with anyone at PriceWaterhouseCoopers the unavailability of any underlying trust or endowment documentation? A. Not that I can recall, but I know others were aware of it. I don't know if it was me telling them or not, but Q. How was it A I know Amy Frazier was aware that there was a representation of, you		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not that I can recall. Q. Do you recall ever yourself coming to the conclusion that the trust or endowment language that you reviewed called for you to seek legal advice? A. Not that I can recall. MR. JONES: We'll break here and we will shortly thereafter conclude. (A recess was taken at 4:08 p.m. and the Deposition continued at 4:19	

66 (Pages 258 to 261)